


Interview Summary	Application No. 09/714,663	Applicant(s) GARNIER ET AL.	
	Examiner Sabiha Qazi	Art Unit 1616	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Sabiha Qazi, Ph.D. 

(3) Anthony Tridico 

(2) Jessica Roark 

(4) _____

Date of Interview: 19 April 2005.

Type: a) ☐ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference
c) ☒ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☒ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☐ No.

If Yes, brief description: _____.

Claim(s) discussed: Claim 11-19, 21-42.

Identification of prior art discussed: _____.


Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☒ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: See Continuation Sheet.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.



Examiner's signature, if required

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: All rejected claims were discussed in detail. Mr. Tridico argued about the support of imino group is generic in the specification. He and Ms. Roark also referred to a species of imino alkyl groups in the specification. Support for C3 to C20 rings were also discussed in detail. It is Examiner's position that there is no definition and the term is broad. Applicants may limit this group to phenyl or any other group which they find the support. Examiner will reconsider if any amendments filed by the applicants.